

Exhibit 2

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

CAROLYN PERLIN, individually and
on behalf of the settlement class,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIME INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 16-cv-10635

Hon. George Caram Steeh

**DECLARATION OF ARI J. SCHARG
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR
ATTORNEYS’ FEES, EXPENSES, AND INCENTIVE AWARD**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare and state as follows:

1. I am an adult over the age of 18 and a resident of the State of Illinois. I am a Partner at the law firm of Edelson PC, which has been retained to represent Plaintiff Carolyn Perlin (“Plaintiff”) in this matter, and I am admitted to practice before this Court.

2. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiff’s Motion for and Brief in Support of Attorneys’ Fees, Expenses, and Incentive Award, and am fully competent to do so.

3. I have personal knowledge of all matters set forth herein unless otherwise indicated, and if called upon to testify, I could and would competently do so.

The Litigation and Settlement History

4. Following the denial of Defendant Time Inc.’s (“Defendant” or “Time”) motion to dismiss and the filing of Defendant’s answer and affirmative defenses, the Parties exchanged initial disclosures and issued written discovery on each other. Plaintiff then served subpoenas on eight third parties including entities that Plaintiff believed, based on Class Counsel’s previous work in *Coulter-Owens v. Time Inc.*, received subscriber information from Time.

5. Over the next five months, the Parties engaged in substantial class- and merits-related discovery, which included the production and review of thousands of documents, numerous meet-and-confer conferences, and the scheduling of depositions for both Plaintiff Perlin and a corporate Rule 30(b)(6) representative from Time.

6. Before taking the scheduled depositions, however, the Parties engaged in settlement discussions in an effort to resolve the case without the expense of further litigation, which would necessarily include adversarial class certification and summary judgment briefing as in the *Coulter-Owens* matter.

7. After multiple rounds of arm’s-length negotiations between counsel experienced in valuing and negotiating VRPA class settlements, the Parties ultimately reached an agreement on the principal terms of the Settlement.

8. The resulting Settlement provides substantial relief for the Settlement

Class, delivering both immediate cash benefits and significant prospective changes to Defendant's business practices.

9. After de-duping the class list, Class Counsel estimates that there are approximately 600,378 members of the Settlement Class, all of whom have received notice of the Settlement and are entitled to a *pro rata* portion of the \$7.4 million non-reversionary Settlement Fund. Based on the current (and historical) claims rates in VRPA cases—which typically range between 10–20%—Class Counsel estimates that each claimant should receive approximately \$50.

10. As of August 27, 2018, a total of 60,484 Settlement Class Members, or 10.07% of the Settlement Class, have submitted timely Claim Forms for payment.

Counsel's Experience and Their Work in this Litigation

11. Class Counsel invested significant time, effort, and resources to prosecuting Time without any compensation, both in this matter and the *Coulter-Owens* matter, which laid the groundwork for success here.

12. Before this case was filed, Class Counsel spent nearly 3,007.2 hours litigating the *Coulter-Owens* matter against Time, which included extensive written and oral discovery on Time's alleged data disclosure practices, two rounds of briefing on motions to dismiss, adversarial class certification, cross motions for summary judgment, and an appeal to the Sixth Circuit on standing issues.

13. Despite this Court's adverse ruling on summary judgment in *Coulter-Owens*, and still cognizant of the risk of nonpayment, Class Counsel took on Plaintiff's case, also on a purely contingent basis, and embarked on yet another fact-intensive investigation of Defendant's practices and Plaintiff's claims, engaged in dispositive Rule 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) motion practice, exchanged extensive formal and informal discovery, including substantial third-party discovery and the review of thousands of documents, and eventually, engaged in meaningful settlement discussions and numerous rounds of arm's-length negotiations.

14. Class Counsel fronted this investment of time and resources, despite the significant risk of nonpayment inherent in this case. For instance, Defendant has made clear that it would assert a number of defenses on the merits if the litigation were to continue, including that the VRPA neither prohibits the disclosure of the magazine subscription information at issue (because the recipients of the disclosures, like Acxiom for example, are its agents), nor applies to Defendant at all because it is not engaged in the business of selling magazines "at retail" (*see* Dkt. 29, Aff. Def. No. 3.) Looking beyond summary judgment and trial, Plaintiff is also keenly aware that Defendant would appeal the merits of any adverse decision, and that in light of the massive aggregate statutory damages in play it would undoubtedly attempt to argue for a reduction of damages based on

due process concerns. Given the defenses mounted by Time, as well as the fairly limited history of VRPA litigation, success on the legal issues presented by this case was far from certain.

15. Class Counsel are well respected members of the legal community with significant experience litigating consumer class actions of similar size, scope, and complexity. (*See also* Edelson PC Resume, a true and accurate copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

16. Class Counsel also faced formidable defense counsel in this action. Defendant's attorneys are highly qualified, representing leading magazine publishers in related VRPA litigation. These same attorneys have been successful in defeating two other lawsuits brought by my firm under the VRPA. Opposing counsel vigorously defended their client throughout the litigation, including in the *Coulter-Owens* matter, and has made clear that, but for the Settlement, they would continue to dispute Defendant's liability, assert multiple defenses, and even challenge the constitutionality of the VRPA itself through appeal.

17. To date, Class Counsel has expended 1,667 hours litigating this case. This total has been adjusted for time that has been deemed unnecessary or duplicative. It is the firm policy of Edelson PC that each of the attorneys and student law clerks/summer associates are responsible for keeping track of their billable time by at least the tenth of the hour through a billing management

software program known as “FreshBooks.” The time incurred by Edelson PC in this case is reflected in the chart below, which I have reviewed and attest represents the hours submitted by the Edelson PC attorneys listed therein.

ATTORNEY (Position)	YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL LODESTAR
Jay Edelson (Founder & CEO)	22	93.1	\$875	\$81,462.50
Rafey Balabanian (Managing Partner)	13	88.6	\$700	\$62,020.00
Eve-Lynn Rapp (Hiring Partner)	9	310.3	\$600	\$186,180.00
Roger Perlstadt (Partner)	16	36.5	\$675	\$24,637.50
Ari Scharg (Partner)	10	361.2	\$625	\$225,750.00
David Mindell (Partner)	6	88.3	\$435	\$38,410.50
Benjamin Thomassen (Associate)	7	160.8	\$450	\$72,360.00
Schuyler Ufkes (Associate)	1	224.2	\$290	\$65,018.00
Law Clerks	N/A	291.0	\$225	\$65,475.00
Paralegals	N/A	13.0	\$225	\$2,925.00
TOTAL		1,667		\$824,238.50

18. My firm’s total attorney time incurred in this matter, at our current hourly billing rates, amounts to \$824,238.50. These are the same billing rates that Edelson PC charges to clients that retain its services and are billed on an hourly basis. The above figure does not include the additional \$35,000 to \$50,000 in attorneys’ fees that Class Counsel will likely incur in connection with proceeding through final approval, the claims administration process, and dealing with any

potential objections. The figure does not include Class Counsel's out-of-pocket expenses for compensable categories such as document preparation and travel, which amounts to \$3,335.49, but the attorneys' fees requested herein are inclusive of Class Counsel's expenses. A breakdown of these expenses is reflected in Exhibit B, attached hereto.

19. The above lodestar figure also does not include the 3,007.2 hours my firm expended litigating the *Coulter-Owens* matter, which at our current billing rates, amounts to \$1,740,582.00 of attorney time. The time incurred by Edelson PC in the *Coulter-Owens* matter is reflected in the chart below, which I have reviewed and attest represents the hours submitted by the Edelson attorneys listed therein.

ATTORNEY (Position)	YEARS OF EXPERIENCE	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	TOTAL LODESTAR
Jay Edelson (Founder & CEO)	22	69.5	\$875	\$60,812.50
Rafey S. Balabanian (Managing Partner)	13	150.6	\$700	\$105,420.00
Roger Perlstadt (Partner)	16	753.4	\$675	\$508,545.00
Ryan Andrews (Partner)	13	69.9	\$650	\$45,435.00
Ari Scharg (Partner)	10	1,128.8	\$625	\$705,500.00
Steven Woodrow (Former Partner)	12	18.7	\$570	\$10,659.00
Benjamin Thomassen (Associate)	7	303.5	\$450	\$136,575.00
Aaron Lawson (Associate)	5	51.40	\$400	\$20,560.00

Nick Larry (Former Associate)	5	90.6	\$390	\$35,334.00
Alicia Hwang (Former Associate)	5	22.9	\$350	\$8,015.00
Mark Eisen (Former Associate)	4	27.4	\$335	\$9,179.00
Jacqueline Maglio (Former Associate)	2	320.5	\$295	\$94,547.50
TOTAL		3007.2		\$1,740,582.00

Plaintiff Perlin's Involvement in this Action

20. I am of the opinion that Ms. Perlin's active involvement in this case was critical to its ultimate resolution. She took her role as class representative seriously, devoting significant amounts of time and effort to protecting the interests of the class. Without her willingness to assume the risks and responsibilities of serving as a class representative, I do not believe such a strong result could have been achieved.

21. Ms. Perlin assumed the role of class representative with no expectation that she would receive an incentive award or other benefit based on her participation.

22. Ms. Perlin equipped Class Counsel with critical details regarding her experience with Time. She was involved in all aspects of the case, including assisting in Class Counsel's pre-suit investigation, reviewing the Class Action Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial before filing, providing documents to be produced in discovery, reviewing written discovery requests and responses,

scheduling her deposition, and reviewing the settlement documents.

23. Ms. Perlin has been in frequent contact with Class Counsel for two years. During this time, she has made herself available to Class Counsel's questions and requests for information. Every step of the way, Class Counsel could count on Ms. Perlin to promptly respond to these inquiries.

24. In short, Ms. Perlin has assisted Class Counsel in pursuing this action on behalf of the class, and her involvement in this case has been nothing short of essential.

* * *

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 28th day of August, 2018 at Chicago, Illinois.

s/ Ari J. Scharg

Exhibit 2-A

EDELSON PC FIRM RESUME

EDELSON PC is a plaintiffs' class and mass action firm with attorneys in Illinois and California.

Our attorneys have been recognized as leaders in these fields by state and federal courts, legislatures, national and international media groups, and our peers. Our reputation has led state and federal courts across the country to appoint us lead counsel in many high-profile cases, including in cutting-edge privacy class actions against comScore, Netflix, Time, Microsoft, and Facebook; Telephone Consumer Protection Act class actions against technology, media, and retail companies such as Google, Twentieth Century Fox, Simon & Schuster, and Steve Madden; data security class actions against LinkedIn, Advocate Hospitals, and AvMed; banking cases related to reductions in home equity lines of credit against Citibank, Wells Fargo, and JP Morgan Chase; fraudulent marketing cases against software companies such as Symantec, AVG and Ascentive; mobile content class actions against all major cellular telephone carriers; and product liability and personal injury cases, including the NCAA Single School/Single Sport Concussion MDL, personal injury cases against Merck alleging injuries caused by taking Vioxx, the Thomas the Tank Engine lead paint class actions and the tainted pet food litigation.

We are lead counsel in *Robins v. Spokeo*, 136 S.Ct. 1540 (2016) where the United States Supreme Court held that "intangible" harms can satisfy Article III standing requirements.

We have testified before the United States Senate and state legislative bodies on class action issues and have repeatedly been asked to work on federal and state legislation involving cellular telephony, privacy, and other consumer issues. Our attorneys have appeared on dozens of national and international television and radio programs, and in numerous national and international publications, discussing our cases and class action and consumer protection issues more generally. Our attorneys speak regularly at seminars on consumer protection and class action issues, and also lecture on class actions at law schools.

Overall, our settlements are valued at over \$1 billion, collectively.

PLAINTIFFS' CLASS AND MASS ACTION PRACTICE

EDELSON PC is a leader in plaintiffs' class and mass action litigation. Law360 has called us a "Titan of the Plaintiffs Bar," a "Plaintiffs Class Action powerhouse" and a "Privacy Litigation Heavyweight." We have been specifically recognized as "pioneers in the electronic privacy class action field, having litigated some of the largest consumer class actions in the country on this issue." See *In re Facebook Privacy Litig.*, No. C 10-02389 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2010) (order appointing us interim co-lead of privacy class action); see also *In re Netflix Privacy Litig.*, No. 11-cv-00379 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 12, 2011) (appointing us sole lead counsel due, in part, to our "significant and particularly specialized expertise in electronic privacy litigation and class actions. We have also been recognized by courts for our uniquely zealous and efficient approach to litigation, which led the then-Chief Judge of the United States Court for the Northern District of Illinois to praise our work as "consistent with the highest standards of the profession" and "a model of what the profession should be. . . ." *In re Kentucky Fried Chicken Coupon Marketing & Sales Practices Litig.*, No. 09-cv-7670, MDL 2103 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 30, 2011). Likewise, in

appointing our firm interim co-lead in one of the most high profile banking cases in the country, a federal court pointed to our ability to be “vigorous advocates, constructive problem-solvers, and civil with their adversaries.” *In Re JPMorgan Chase Home Equity Line of Credit Litig.*, No. 10 C 3647 (N.D. Ill. July 16, 2010). After hard fought litigation, that case settled, resulting in the reinstatement of between \$3.2 billion and \$4.7 billion in home credit lines.

We have several sub-specialties within our plaintiffs’ class action practice:

MASS/CLASS TORT CASES

Our attorneys are representing labor unions and governmental entities seeking to recover losses arising out of the Opioid Crisis, classes of student athletes suffering from the long-term effects of concussive and sub-concussive injuries, homeowners who have lost their homes in Hurricane Harvey and were a part of a team of lawyers representing a group of public housing residents in a suit based upon contamination related injuries, a group of employees exposed to second-hand smoke on a riverboat casino, and a class of individuals suing a hospital and national association of blood banks for failure to warn of risks related to blood transfusions. Representative cases and settlements include:

- Filed first cases on behalf of labor unions seeking to recover losses arising out of the Opioid Crisis. *Se, e.g. Philadelphia Federation of Teachers Health and Welfare Fund v. Purdue Pharma, L.P., et al.*, No. 2:17-cv-04746-TJS (E.D. Penn. Oct. 26, 2017). Representing numerous other unions and governmental entities in similar soon-to-be-filed litigation.
- *In re: National Collegiate Athletic Association Single School/Single Sport Concussion Litig.*, No. 16-cv-8727, MDL No. 2492 (N.D. Ill.): Appointed co-lead counsel in MDL brought against the NCAA, its conferences and member institutions alleging personal injury claims on behalf of college football players resulting from repeated concussive and sub-concussive hits.
- *Bouzerand v. United States*, No. 1:17-cv-01195-VJW (Ct. Fed. Claims): Filed putative class action on behalf of homeowners alleging the government has to fairly compensate the class under the Fifth Amendment’s Takings Clause after the government flooded their homes by releasing reservoir waters during Hurricane Harvey. (Note: Court is expected to decide lead counsel in December).
- *Aaron v. Chicago Housing Authority*, No. 99 L 11738 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Part of team representing a group of public housing residents bringing suit over contamination-related injuries. Case settled on a mass basis for over \$10 million.

- *Januszewski v. Horseshoe Hammond*, No. 2:00CV352JM (N.D. Ind.): Part of team of attorneys in mass suit alleging that defendant riverboat casino caused injuries to its employees arising from exposure to second-hand smoke.
- *Merck/Vioxx Lawsuits*: Represented hundreds of individuals claiming medical problems including heart attacks and strokes after taking the prescription medication Vioxx. Cases resolved as part of Merck's global settlement.

The firm's cases regularly receive attention from local, national, and international media. Our cases and attorneys have been reported in the Chicago Tribune, USA Today, the Wall Street Journal, the New York Times, the LA Times, by the Reuters and UPI news services, and BBC International. Our attorneys have appeared on numerous national television and radio programs, including ABC World News, CNN, Fox News, NPR, and CBS Radio, as well as television and radio programs outside of the United States. We have also been called upon to give congressional testimony and other assistance in hearings involving our cases.

MORTGAGE & BANKING

EDELSON PC has been at the forefront of class action litigation arising in the aftermath of the federal bailouts of the banks. Our suits include claims that certain banks unlawfully suspended home credit lines based on pre-textual reasons, and that certain banks have failed to honor loan modification programs. We achieved the first federal appellate decision in the country recognizing the right of borrowers to enforce HAMP trial plans under state law. The court noted that "[p]rompt resolution of this matter is necessary not only for the good of the litigants but for the good of the Country." *Wigod v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 673 F.3d 547, 586 (7th Cir. 2012) (Ripple, J., concurring). Our settlements have restored billions of dollars in home credit lines to people throughout the country. Representative cases and settlements include:

- *In re JP Morgan Chase Bank Home Equity Line of Credit Litig.*, No. 10-cv-3647 (N.D. Ill.): Court appointed interim co-lead counsel in nationwide putative class action alleging illegal suspensions of home credit lines. Settlement restored between \$3.2 billion and \$4.7 billion in credit to the class.
- *Hamilton v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, No. 09-cv-04152-CW (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in class actions challenging Wells Fargo's suspensions of home equity lines of credit. Nationwide settlement restores access to over \$1 billion in credit and provides industry leading service enhancements and injunctive relief.
- *In re Citibank HELOC Reduction Litig.*, No. 09-cv-0350-MMC (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in class actions challenging Citibank's suspensions of

EDELSON PC Firm Resume as of August 2018

home equity lines of credit. The settlement restored up to \$653,920,000 worth of credit to affected borrowers.

- *Wigod v. Wells Fargo*, No. 10-cv-2348 (N.D. Ill.): In ongoing putative class action, obtained first appellate decision in the country recognizing the right of private litigants to sue to enforce HAMP trial plans.

PRIVACY/DATA LOSS

Data Loss/Unauthorized Disclosure of Data

We have litigated numerous class actions involving issues of first impression against Facebook, Uber, Apple, Netflix, Sony, Gannett, Redbox, Pandora, Sears, Storm 8, Google, T-Mobile, Microsoft, and others involving failures to protect customers' private information, security breaches, and unauthorized sharing of personal information with third parties. Representative settlements and ongoing cases include:

- *City of Chicago and People of the State of Illinois, ex rel. Kimberly M. Foxx, State's Attorney of Cook County, Illinois*, No. 17-CH-15594 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty, Ill.): Several Edelson attorneys appointed Special Assistant Corporation Counsel for the City of Chicago and Special Assistant State's Attorney for Cook County, Illinois in their consolidated data breach/failure to notify lawsuit against Uber Technologies.
- *Dunstan v. comScore, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-5807 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in certified class action accusing Internet analytics company of improper data collection practices. The court has finally approved a \$14 million settlement.
- *Resnick v. Avmed*, No. 10-cv-24513 (S.D. Fla.): Lead counsel in data breach case filed against health insurance company. Obtained landmark appellate decision endorsing common law unjust enrichment theory, irrespective of whether identity theft occurred. Case also resulted in the first class action settlement in the country to provide data breach victims with monetary payments irrespective of identity theft.
- *In re Netflix Privacy Litig.*, No. 11-cv-00379 (N.D. Cal.): Sole lead counsel in suit alleging that defendant violated the Video Privacy Protection Act by illegally retaining customer viewing information. Case resulted in a \$9 million dollar *cy pres* settlement that has been finally approved.
- *N.P. v. Standard Innovation (US), Corp.*, No. 1:16-cv-08655 (N.D. Ill.): Brought and resolved first ever IoT privacy class action against adult-toy manufacturer accused on collected and recording highly intimate and sensitive personal use data. Case resolved for \$3.75m (Canadian).

- *Sekura v. L.A. Tan Enterprises, Inc.*, No. 15 CH 16694 (Cir. Ct. Cook County, Ill.): Reached the first ever settlement under Illinois's biometric privacy statute. Settlement provided the class with \$1.25m and released only the franchiser and related companies, thus allowing additional ongoing suits against franchisees to continue.
- *Halaburda v. Bauer Publishing Co.*, No. 12-cv-12831 (E.D. Mich.); *Grenke v. Hearst Communications, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-14221 (E.D. Mich.); *Fox v. Time, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-14390 (E.D. Mich.): Consolidated actions brought under Michigan's Preservation of Personal Privacy Act, alleging unlawful disclosure of subscribers' personal information. In a groundbreaking decision, the court denied three motions to dismiss finding that the magazine publishers were covered by the act and that the illegal sale of personal information triggers an automatic \$5,000 award to each aggrieved consumer. In January and July of 2015, final approval was granted to a settlement reached in the *Bauer Publishing* matter and an adversarial class was certified in the *Time* case, respectively.
- *Standiford v. Palm*, No. 09-cv-05719-LHK (N.D. Cal.): Sole lead counsel in data loss class action, resulting in \$640,000 settlement.
- *In re Zynga Privacy Litig.*, No. 10-cv-04680 (N.D. Cal.): Appointed co-lead counsel in suit against gaming application designer for the alleged unlawful disclosure of its users' personally identifiable information to advertisers and other third parties.
- *In re Facebook Privacy Litig.*, No. 10-cv-02389 (N.D. Cal.): Appointed co-lead counsel in suit alleging that Facebook unlawfully shared its users' sensitive personally identifiable information with Facebook's advertising partners.
- *In re Sidekick Litig.*, No. C 09-04854-JW (N.D. Cal.): Co-lead counsel in cloud computing data loss case against T-Mobile and Microsoft. Settlement provided the class with potential settlement benefits valued at over \$12 million.
- *Desantis v. Sears*, No. 08 CH 00448 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in injunctive settlement alleging national retailer allowed purchase information to be publicly available through the Internet.

Telephone Consumer Protection Act

EDELSON PC has been at the forefront of TCPA litigation for nearly a decade, having secured the groundbreaking *Satterfield* ruling in the Ninth Circuit applying the TCPA to

text messages, *Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc.*, 569 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2009), and the largest (up to \$76 million in total monetary relief) TCPA settlement to date. See *Birchmeier v. Caribbean Cruise Line, Inc., et al.*, No. 12-cv-4069 (N.D. Ill.). In addition to numerous settlements—collectively providing over \$200 million to consumers—we have over two dozen putative TCPA class actions pending against companies including Santander Consumer USA, Inc., GrubHub, United Student Aid Funds, NCO Financial Systems, and NRG Energy. Representative settlements and ongoing cases include:

- *Birchmeier v. Caribbean Cruise Line, Inc., et al.*, No. 12-cv-4069 (N.D. Ill.): Co-lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant violated federal law by making unsolicited telemarketing calls. Obtained adversarial class certification of nationwide class of approximately 1 million consumers. On the eve of trial, case resulted in the largest TCPA settlement to date, totaling up to \$76 million in monetary relief.
- *Kolinek v. Walgreen Co.*, No. 13-cv-4806 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant violated federal law by making unsolicited prescription reminder calls. Won reconsideration of dismissal based upon whether provision of telephone number constituted consent to call. Case settled for \$11 million.
- *Hopwood v. Nuance Communications, Inc., et al.*, No. 13-cv-2132 (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendants violated federal law by making unsolicited marketing calls to consumers nationwide. \$9.245 million settlement provided class members option to claim unprecedented relief based upon total number of calls they received. Settlement resulted in some class members receiving in excess of \$10,000 each.
- *Rojas v CEC*, No. 10-cv-05260 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in text spam class action that settled for \$19,999,400.
- *In re Jiffy Lube Int'l Text Spam Litigation*, No. 11-md-2261, 2012 WL 762888 (S.D. Cal.): Co-lead counsel in \$35 million text spam settlement.
- *Ellison v Steve Madden, Ltd.*, No. cv 11-5935 PSG (C.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in \$10 million text spam settlement.
- *Kramer v. B2Mobile*, No. 10-cv-02722-CW (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in \$12.2 million text spam settlement.
- *Wright, et al. v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC*, No. 14-cv-10457 (N.D. Ill.): Co-lead counsel in \$12.1 million debt collection call settlement.
- *Pimental v. Google, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-02585 (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant co-opted group text messaging lists to

EDELSON PC Firm Resume as of August 2018

send unsolicited text messages. \$6 million settlement provides class members with an unprecedented \$500 recovery.

- *Robles v. Lucky Brand Dungarees, Inc.*, No. 10-cv-04846 (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in \$10 million text spam settlement.
- *Miller v. Red Bull*, No. 12-CV-04961 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in \$6 million text spam settlement.
- *Woodman v. ADP Dealer Services*, No. 2013 CH 10169 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in \$7.5 million text spam settlement.
- *Lockett v. Mogreet, Inc.*, No 2013 CH 21352 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in \$16 million text spam settlement.
- *Lozano v. 20th Century Fox*, No. 09-cv-05344 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendants violated federal law by sending unsolicited text messages to cellular telephones of consumers. Case settled for \$16 million.
- *Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster*, No. C 06 2893 CW (N.D. Cal.): Co-lead counsel in in \$10 million text spam settlement.
- *Weinstein v. Airt2me, Inc.*, No. 06 C 0484 (N.D. Ill): Co-lead counsel in \$7 million text spam settlement.

CONSUMER TECHNOLOGY

Fraudulent Software

In addition to the settlements listed below, EDELSON PC has consumer fraud cases pending in courts nationwide against companies such as McAfee, Inc., Avanquest North America Inc., PC Cleaner, AVG, iolo Technologies, LLC, among others. Representative settlements include:

- *Drymon v. Cyberdefender*, No. 11 CH 16779 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant deceptively designed and marketed its computer repair software. Case settled for \$9.75 million.
- *Gross v. Symantec Corp.*, No. 12-cv-00154-CRB (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant deceptively designed and marketed its computer repair software. Case settled for \$11 million.
- *LaGarde v. Support.com, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-00609-JSC (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant deceptively designed and marketed its computer repair software. Case settled for \$8.59 million.

- *Ledet v. Ascentive LLC*, No. 11-CV-294-PBT (E.D. Pa.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant deceptively designed and marketed its computer repair software. Case settled for \$9.6 million.
- *Webb v. Cleverbridge, Inc.*, No. 1:11-cv-04141 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in class action alleging that defendant deceptively designed and marketed its computer repair software. Case settled for \$5.5 million.

Video Games

EDELSON PC has litigated cases video-game related cases against Activision Blizzard Inc., Electronic Arts, Inc., Google, and Zenimax Media, Inc.

PRODUCTS LIABILITY CLASS ACTIONS

We have been appointed lead counsel in state and federal products liability class settlements, including a \$30 million settlement resolving the “Thomas the Tank Engine” lead paint recall cases and a \$32 million settlement involving the largest pet food recall in the history of the United States and Canada. Representative settlements include:

- *Barrett v. RC2 Corp.*, No. 07 CH 20924 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Co-lead counsel in lead paint recall case involving Thomas the Tank toy trains. Settlement is valued at over \$30 million and provided class with full cash refunds and reimbursement of certain costs related to blood testing.
- *In re Pet Food Products Liability Litig.*, No. 07-2867 (D.N.J.): Part of mediation team in class action involving largest pet food recall in United States history. Settlement provided \$24 million common fund and \$8 million in charge backs.

INSURANCE CLASS ACTIONS

We have prosecuted and settled multi-million dollar suits against J.C. Penney Life Insurance for allegedly illegally denying life insurance benefits under an unenforceable policy exclusion and against a Wisconsin insurance company for terminating the health insurance policies of groups of self-insureds. Representative settlements include:

- *Holloway v. J.C. Penney*, No. 97 C 4555 (N.D. Ill.): One of the primary attorneys in a multi-state class action suit alleging that the defendant illegally denied life insurance benefits to the class. The case settled in or around December 2000, resulting in a multi-million dollar cash award to the class.
- *Ramlow v. Family Health Plan* (Wisc. Cir. Ct., WI): Co-lead counsel in a class action suit challenging defendant’s termination of health insurance to

groups of self-insureds. The plaintiff won a temporary injunction, which was sustained on appeal, prohibiting such termination and eventually settled the case ensuring that each class member would remain insured.

GENERAL CONSUMER PROTECTION CLASS ACTIONS

We have successfully prosecuted countless class actions against computer software companies, technology companies, health clubs, dating agencies, phone companies, debt collectors, and other businesses on behalf of consumers. In addition to the settlements listed below, EDELSON PC have litigated consumer fraud cases in courts nationwide against companies such as Motorola Mobility, Stonebridge Benefit Services, J.C. Penney, Sempris LLC, and Plimus, LLC. Representative settlements include:

Mobile Content

We have prosecuted over 100 cases involving mobile content, settling numerous nationwide class actions, including against industry leader AT&T Mobility, collectively worth over a hundred million dollars.

- *McFerren v. AT&T Mobility, LLC*, No. 08-CV-151322 (Fulton Cnty. Super. Ct., Ga.): Lead counsel class action settlement involving 16 related cases against largest wireless service provider in the nation. “No cap” settlement provided virtually full refunds to a nationwide class of consumers who alleged that unauthorized charges for mobile content were placed on their cell phone bills.
- *Paluzzi v. Cellco Partnership*, No. 07 CH 37213 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in class action settlement involving 27 related cases alleging unauthorized mobile content charges. Case settled for \$36 million.
- *Gray v. Mobile Messenger Americas, Inc.*, No. 08-CV-61089 (S.D. Fla.): Lead counsel in case alleging unauthorized charges were placed on cell phone bills. Case settled for \$12 million.
- *Parone v. m-Qube, Inc.*, No. 08 CH 15834 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in class action settlement involving over 2 dozen cases alleging the imposition of unauthorized mobile content charges. Case settled for \$12.254 million.
- *Williams v. Motricity, Inc.*, No. 09 CH 19089 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Lead counsel in class action settlement involving 24 cases alleging the imposition of unauthorized mobile content charges. Case settled for \$9 million.

- *VanDyke v. Media Breakaway, LLC*, No. 08 CV 22131 (S.D. Fla.): Lead counsel in class action settlement alleging unauthorized mobile content charges. Case settled for \$7.6 million.
- *Gresham v. Cellco Partnership*, No. BC 387729 (L.A. Super. Ct., Cal.): Lead counsel in case alleging unauthorized charges were placed on cell phone bills. Settlement provided class members with full refunds.
- *Abrams v. Facebook, Inc.*, No. 07-05378 (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in injunctive settlement concerning the transmission of allegedly unauthorized mobile content.

Deceptive Marketing

- *Van Tassell v. UMG*, No. 1:10-cv-2675 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in negative option marketing class action. Case settled for \$2.85 million.
- *McK Sales Inc. v. Discover Bank*, No. 10-cv-02964 (N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel in class action alleging deceptive marketing aimed at small businesses. Case settled for \$6 million.
- *Farrell v. OpenTable*, No. 11-cv-01785 (N.D. Cal.): Lead counsel in gift certificate expiration case. Settlement netted class over \$3 million in benefits.
- *Ducharme v. Lexington Law*, No. 10-cv-2763 (N.D. Cal): Lead counsel in CROA class action. Settlement resulted in over \$6 million of benefits to the class.
- *Pulcini v. Bally Total Fitness Corp.*, No. 05 CH 10649 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Co-lead counsel in four class action lawsuits brought against two health clubs and three debt collection companies. A global settlement provided the class with over \$40 million in benefits, including cash payments, debt relief, and free health club services.
- *Kozubik v. Capital Fitness, Inc.*, 04 CH 627 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Co-lead counsel in state-wide suit against a leading health club chain, which settled in 2004, providing the over 150,000 class members with between \$11 million and \$14 million in benefits, consisting of cash refunds, full debt relief, and months of free health club membership.
- *Kim v. Riscuity*, No. 06 C 01585 (N.D. Ill.): Co-lead counsel in suit against a debt collection company accused of attempting to collect on illegal contracts. The case settled in 2007, providing the class with full debt relief and return of all money collected.

- *Jones v. TrueLogic Financial Corp.*, No. 05 C 5937 (N.D. Ill.): Co-lead counsel in suit against two debt collectors accused of attempting to collect on illegal contracts. The case settled in 2007, providing the class with approximately \$2 million in debt relief.
- *Fertelmeyster v. Match.com*, No. 02 CH 11534 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Co-lead counsel in a state-wide class action suit brought under Illinois consumer protection statutes. The settlement provided the class with a collective award with a face value in excess of \$3 million.
- *Cioe v. Yahoo!, Inc.*, No. 02 CH 21458 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.): Co-lead counsel in a state-wide class action suit brought under state consumer protection statutes. The settlement provided the class with a collective award with a face value between \$1.6 million and \$4.8 million.
- *Zurakov v. Register.com*, No. 01-600703 (N.Y. Sup. Ct., N.Y. Cnty.): Co-lead counsel in a class action brought on behalf of an international class of over one million members against Register.com for its allegedly deceptive practices in advertising on “coming soon” pages of newly registered Internet domain names. Settlement required Register.com to fully disclose its practices and provided the class with relief valued in excess of \$17 million.

GENERAL COMMERCIAL LITIGATION

Our attorneys have handled a wide range of general commercial litigation matters, from partnership and business-to-business disputes to litigation involving corporate takeovers. We have handled cases involving tens of thousands of dollars to “bet the company” cases involving up to hundreds of millions of dollars. Our attorneys have collectively tried hundreds of cases, as well as scores of arbitrations and mediations.

OUR ATTORNEYS

JAY EDELSON is the founder and CEO of EDELSON PC. e is considered one of the nation’s leading class and mass action lawyers, having secured over \$1 billion in settlements and verdicts for his clients.

Law360 described Jay as a “Titan of the Plaintiff’s Bar“. The American Bar Association recognized Jay Edelson as one of the “most creative minds in the legal industry.” Law360 noted that he has “taken on some of the biggest companies and law firms in the world and has had success where others have not.” Another publication explained that “when it comes to legal strategy and execution, Jay is simply one of the best in the country.” Prof. Todd Henderson, the Michael J. Marks Professor of Law at the University of Chicago Law School, opined that when thinking about “who’s the most innovative lawyer in the US ... [Jay is] at or near the top of my list.”

Jay has received special recognition for his success in taking on Silicon Valley. The national press has dubbed Jay and his firm the “most feared” litigators in Silicon Valley and, according to the New York Times, tech’s “babyfaced ... boogeyman.” Most recently, Chicago Lawyer Magazine dubbed Jay “Public Enemy No. 1 in Silicon Valley.” In the emerging area of privacy law, the international press has called Jay one of the world’s “profilertesten (most prominent)” privacy class action attorneys. The National Law Journal has similarly recognized Jay as a “Cybersecurity Trailblazer” — one of only two plaintiff’s attorneys to win this recognition.

Jay has taught class actions and negotiations at Chicago-Kent College of Law and privacy litigation at UC Berkeley School of Law. He has written a blog for Thomson Reuters, called Pardon the Disruption, where he focused on ideas necessary to reform and reinvent the legal industry and has contributed opinion pieces to TechCrunch, Quartz, the Chicago Tribune, law360, and others. He also serves on law 360’s Privacy & Consumer Protection editorial advisory board. In recognition of the fact that his firm runs like a start-up that “just happens to be a law firm,” Jay was recently named to “Chicago’s Top Ten Startup Founders over 40” by Tech.co.

Jay currently serves on Chicago’s 47th Ward Democratic Organization Judicial Recommendation Committee, which is responsible for interviewing, vetting and slating Cook County Judicial Candidates for election.

RYAN D. ANDREWS is a Partner at EDELSON PC. He presently leads the firm’s complex case resolution and appellate practice group, which oversees the firm’s class settlements, class notice programs, and briefing on issues of first impression.

Ryan has been appointed class counsel in numerous federal and state class actions nationwide that have resulted in over \$100 million dollars in refunds to consumers, including: *Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster*, No. C 06 2893 CW (N.D. Cal.); *Ellison v Steve Madden, Ltd.*, No. cv 11-5935 PSG (C.D. Cal.); *Robles v. Lucky Brand Dungarees, Inc.*, No. 10-cv-04846 (N.D. Cal.); *Lozano v. 20th Century Fox*, No. 09-cv-05344 (N.D. Ill.); *Paluzzi v. Cellco Partnership*, No. 07 CH 37213 (Cir. Ct. Cook Cnty., Ill.); and *Lofton v. Bank of America Corp.*, No. 07-5892 (N.D. Cal.).

Representative reported decisions include: *Lozano v. Twentieth Century Fox*, 702 F. Supp. 2d 999 (N.D. Ill. 2010), *Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc.* 569 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2009), *Kramer v. Autobytel, Inc.*, 759 F. Supp. 2d 1165 (N.D. Cal. 2010); *In re Jiffy Lube Int’l Text Spam Litig.*, 847 F. Supp. 2d 1253 (S.D. Cal. 2012); *Lee v. Stonebridge Life Ins. Co.*, 289 F.R.D. 292 (N.D. Cal. 2013); and *Kristensen v. Credit Payment Servs.*, 12 F. Supp. 3d 1292 (D. Nev. Mar. 26, 2014).

Ryan graduated from the University of Michigan, earning his B.A., with distinction, in Political Science and Communications. Ryan received his J.D. with High Honors from the Chicago-Kent College of Law and was named Order of the Coif. Ryan has served as an Adjunct Professor of Law at Chicago-Kent, teaching a third-year seminar on class actions. While in law school, Ryan was a Notes & Comments Editor for The Chicago-Kent Law Review, earned CALI awards for the highest grade in five classes, and was a teaching assistant for both Property Law and Legal

Writing courses. Ryan externed for the Honorable Joan B. Gottschall in the United State District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Ryan is licensed to practice in Illinois state courts, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

RAFEY S. BALABANIAN is the Managing Partner of EDELSON PC and its director of nationwide litigation. He started his career as a trial lawyer, serving as a prosecutor for the City of Chicago where he took part in dozens of trials. Rafey went on to join a litigation boutique in Chicago where he continued his trial work, before eventually starting with EDELSON in 2008. He is regarded by his peers as a highly skilled litigator, and has been appointed lead class counsel in more than two dozen class actions in state and federal courts across the country. His work has led to groundbreaking results in trial courts nationwide, and he has secured hundreds of millions of dollars on behalf of his clients.

Some of Rafey's more notable achievements include nationwide settlements involving the telecom industry, including companies such as AT&T, Google, Sony, Motricity, and OpenMarket valued at more than \$100 million.

Rafey has also been appointed to the Executive Committee in the NCAA concussion cases, considered to be "one of the largest actions pending in the country, a multi district litigation ... that currently included about 100 personal injury class actions filed by college football players[.]" He also represents labor unions and governmental entities in lawsuits against the drug manufacturers and distributors over the on-going opioid crisis, and serves as trial court counsel in *Robins v. Spokeo, Inc.*, 2:10-cv-05306-ODW-AGR, which has been called the most significant consumer privacy case in recent years.

Rafey's class action practice also includes his work in the privacy sphere, and he has reached groundbreaking settlements with companies like Netflix, LinkedIn, Walgreens, Nationstar and comScore. Rafey also served as lead counsel in the case of *Dunstan, et al. v. comScore, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-5807 (N.D. Ill.), where he led the effort to secure class certification of what is believed to be the largest adversarial class to be certified in a privacy case in the history of US jurisprudence.

Rafey's work in general complex commercial litigation includes representing clients ranging from "emerging technology" companies, real estate developers, hotels, insurance companies, lenders, shareholders and attorneys. He has successfully litigated numerous multi-million dollar cases, including several "bet the company" cases.

Rafey is a frequent speaker on class and mass action issues, and has served as a guest lecturer on several occasions at UC Berkeley Boalt School of Law. Rafey also serves on the Executive Committee of the Antitrust, Unfair Competition and Privacy Section of the State Bar of California where he has been appointed Vice Chair of Privacy, as well as the Executive Committee of the Privacy and Cybersecurity Section of the Bar Association of San Francisco.

Rafey received his J.D. from the DePaul University College of Law in 2005. A native of Colorado, Rafey received his B.A. in History, with distinction, from the University of Colorado – Boulder in 2002.

CHRISTOPHER L. DORE is a Partner at EDELSON PC where he focuses his practice on emerging consumer technology and privacy issues.

Chris is the Partner-in-Charge of the Firm's Case Development & Investigations Group. His team investigates complex technological fraud and privacy related violations, including fraudulent software and hardware, undisclosed tracking of online consumer activity, illegal data retention, and large-scale commercial data breaches. In the privacy space, Chris plays an active role in applying older federal and state statutes to new technologies. He has been appointed class counsel in multiple class actions, including one of the largest settlements under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, ground-breaking issues in the mobile phone industry and fraudulent marketing, as well as consumer privacy. Chris has been asked to appear on television, radio, and in national publications to discuss consumer protection and privacy issues, as well as asked to lecture at his alma mater on the class action practice.

Chris received his law degree from The John Marshall Law School, his M.A. in Legal Sociology from the International Institute for the Sociology of Law (located in Onati, Spain), and his B.A. in Legal Sociology from the University of California, Santa Barbara. Chris also serves on the Illinois Bar Foundation, Board of Directors.

DAVID I. MINDELL is a Partner at EDELSON PC where he helps direct a team of attorneys and engineers in investigating and litigating cases involving complex tech fraud and privacy violations. His team's research has led to lawsuits involving the fraudulent development, marketing, and sale of computer software, unlawful tracking of consumers through mobile-devices and computers, unlawful collection, storage, and dissemination of consumer data, mobile-device privacy violations, large-scale data breaches, and the Bitcoin industry. On the other side, David also serves as a consultant to a variety of emerging technology companies.

Prior to joining EDELSON PC, David co-founded several tech, real estate, and hospitality related ventures, including a tech startup that was acquired by a well-known international corporation within its first three years. David has advised tech companies on a variety of legal and strategic business-related issues, including how to handle and protect consumer data. He has also consulted with startups on the formation of business plans, product development, and launch.

While in law school, David was a research assistant for University of Chicago Law School Kauffman and Bigelow Fellow, Matthew Tokson, and for the preeminent cyber-security professor, Hank Perritt at the Chicago-Kent College of Law. David's research included cyberattack and denial of service vulnerabilities of the Internet, intellectual property rights, and privacy issues.

David has spoken to a wide range of audiences about his investigations and practice.

ROGER PERLSTADT is a Partner at EDELSON PC, where he concentrates on appellate and complex litigation advocacy. He has briefed and argued appeals and motions in both federal and state appellate courts.

Prior to joining EDELSON PC, Roger was a law clerk to United States District Court Judge Elaine E. Bucklo, an associate at a litigation boutique in Chicago, and a Visiting Assistant Professor at the University of Florida Levin College of Law. He has published articles on the Federal Arbitration Act in various law reviews.

Roger has been named a Rising Star by *Illinois Super Lawyer Magazine* four times since 2010.

Roger graduated from the University of Chicago Law School, where he was a member of the University of Chicago Law Review. After law school, he served as a clerk to the Honorable Elaine E. Bucklo of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

EVE-LYNN J. RAPP is a Partner at EDELSON PC, where she focuses her practice on consumer technology class actions, with a particular emphasis on cell phone telephony and Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”) cases and “negative option” enrollment consumer fraud cases. She also regularly handles plaintiff’s side employment class actions, including federal Fair Labor Stands Act cases and their state law counterparts. Eve is the hiring partner for the firm’s Chicago office.

Eve has helped lead approximately 20 TCPA class actions, including *Birchmeier v. Caribbean Cruise Line, Inc. et al.*, No. 12-cv-04069 (N.D. Ill.), where she secured the largest adversarial TCPA class in this nation’s history. She is also lead counsel in one of the few “Do Not Call” TCPA cases to settle, resulting in a multi-million dollar settlement and affording class members with as much as \$5,000 individually. Eve has also prosecuted TCPA cases on an individual basis in arbitrations, winning six-figure settlements.

She has led over a half-dozen consumer fraud and “negative option” enrollment cases, against a variety of industries, including e-cigarette sellers, the on-line gaming companies, and electronic and sport products distributors.

Eve is also leading a series of employment class actions involving the cell tower industry, securing a six-figure settlement for the named plaintiff.

In a nationally publicized products liability case, Eve help secure a reversal from the United States Supreme Court, paving the way for hundreds of thousands of people to litigate their claims of deceptive marketing.

In 2015, Eve was selected as an Illinois Emerging Lawyer by Leading Lawyers.

Eve received her J.D. from Loyola University of Chicago-School of Law, graduating cum laude, with a Certificate in Trial Advocacy. During law school, she was an Associate Editor of Loyola’s International Law Review and externed as a “711” at both the Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office and for Cook County Commissioner Larry Suffredin. Eve also clerked for both civil and

criminal judges (The Honorable Judge Yvonne Lewis and Plummer Lott) in the Supreme Court of New York. Eve graduated from the University of Colorado, Boulder, with distinction and Phi Beta Kappa honors, receiving a B.A. in Political Science.

Eve is actively involved with the Chicago Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, Inc.'s Settlement Assistance Project where she represents a number of pro bono clients for settlement purposes.

BENJAMIN H. RICHMAN is the Managing Partner of EDELSON PC's Chicago office. He handles plaintiff's-side class and mass actions, helping employees in the workplace, consumers who were sold deceptive products or had their privacy rights violated, student athletes suffering from the effect of concussions, and labor unions and governmental bodies seeking to recover losses arising out of the opioid crisis. He also routinely represents technology and brick and mortar companies in a wide variety of commercial litigation and other matters. Overall, Ben has been appointed by the federal and state courts to be Class or Lead Counsel in dozens of cases. His suits have recovered hundreds of millions of dollars for his clients.

On the plaintiff's side, Ben is currently part of the team leading the *National Collegiate Athletic Association Student-Athlete Concussion Injury Litigation – Single Sport/Single School (Football)* multi-district litigation, bringing personal injury lawsuits against the NCAA, athletic conferences, and its member institutions over concussion-related injuries. He is also representing labor unions and governmental entities in lawsuits against the drug manufacturers and distributors over the opioid crisis. And he is currently pursuing claims of Houston area homeowners against United States seeking recovery for alleged constitutional takings of their properties in the wake of Hurricane Harvey. In addition, Ben is lead counsel in numerous class actions involving alleged violations of class members' common law and statutory rights (e.g., violations of Alaska's Genetic Privacy Act, Illinois' Biometric Information Privacy Act, the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act, and others).

Some of Ben's notable achievements include acting as lead counsel and securing settlements collectively worth \$50 million dollars in over a half-dozen nationwide class actions against software companies involving claims of fraudulent marketing and unfair business practices. He was part of the team that litigated over a half-dozen nationwide class actions involving claims of unauthorized charges on cellular telephones, which ultimately led to settlements collectively worth hundreds of millions of dollars. And he has been lead counsel numerous multi-million dollar privacy settlements, including several that resulted in individual payments to class members reaching into the tens of thousands of dollars and another that –in addition to securing millions of dollars in monetary relief – also led to a waiver by the defendants of their primary defenses to claims that were not otherwise being released.

Ben's work in complex commercial matters includes successfully defending multiple actions against the largest medical marijuana producer in state of Illinois related to the issuance of its cultivation licenses, and successfully defending one of the largest mortgage lenders in the country on claims of unjust enrichment, securing dismissals or settlements that ultimately amounted to a fraction of typical defense costs in such actions. Ben has also represented startups in various matters, including licensing, intellectual property, and merger and acquisition.

Each year since 2015, Ben has been recognized by Super Lawyers as a *Rising Star* and Leading Lawyers as an *Emerging Lawyer* in both class action and mass tort litigation.

Ben received his J.D. from The John Marshall Law School, where he was an Executive Editor of the Law Review and earned a Certificate in Trial Advocacy. While in law school, Ben served as a judicial extern to the Honorable John W. Darrah of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. Ben has also routinely guest-lectured at various law schools on issues related to class actions, complex litigation and negotiation.

ARI J. SCHARG is a Partner at EDELSON PC and Chair of the firm's Government Affairs Group, where he counsels governmental entities and officials on a range of policy and strategic issues involving consumer protection, privacy, technology, and data security. Known as an aggressive advocate, Ari also leverages his experience litigating hundreds of complex class and mass action lawsuits to help local governments prosecute large-scale cost recovery actions, including those against the pharmaceutical companies responsible for the opioid crisis.

Recognized as one of the leading experts on privacy and emerging technologies, Ari serves on the inaugural Executive Oversight Council for the Array of Things Project where he advises on privacy and data security matters, Chairs the Illinois State Bar Association's Privacy and Information Security Section, and was recently appointed by the Illinois Senate President to Co-Chair the Illinois Blockchain and Distributed Ledgers Task Force alongside Representative Michael Zalewski (21st Dist.). Ari was selected as an Illinois Rising Star by Super Lawyers (2013 – 2018), and received the Michigan State Bar Foundation's Access to Justice Award (2017) for "significantly advancing access to justice for the poor" through his consumer cases.

Ari regularly speaks about data security and technology at law schools and conferences around the country, and has testified before the Michigan House of Representatives Committee on Commerce and Trade about the privacy implications raised by the surging data mining industry and the Nevada Assembly Commerce and Labor Committee about the privacy implications raised by the surreptitious collection and use of geolocation data.

Ari received his B.A. in Sociology from the University of Michigan – Ann Arbor and graduated magna cum laude from The John Marshall Law School where he served as a Staff Editor for THE JOHN MARSHALL LAW REVIEW and competed nationally in trial competitions. During law school, he also served as a judicial extern to The Honorable Bruce W. Black of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

ALFRED K. MURRAY II is Senior Litigation Counsel at EDELSON PC.

Alfred's prior experience includes handling a myriad of cases in his solo practice after spending several years at a well-respected civil litigation firm. Alfred's prior experience includes practice areas of civil right & municipal liability defense, commercial litigation, real estate litigation, and professional negligence. Known as a skilled yet reasonable litigator, Alfred has conducted bench trials, jury trials, and evidentiary hearings throughout the Northern District of Illinois, the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the surrounding suburbs. His experience in commercial litigation

and real estate litigation led to substantive experience with judgment enforcement proceedings, where he eventually co-authored the *Equitable Remedies* chapter in the 2011 Supplement and 2013 Update to the *Illinois Institute of Continuing Legal Education, Creditors' Rights in Illinois*. Alfred has also lectured on supplemental proceedings, complex asset recovery, and post-judgment causes of action for the Illinois Creditors Bar Association, Illinois State Bar Association, Illinois Institute of Continuing Legal Education, and Chicago Bar Association. Alfred was selected as an Illinois Rising Star by Super Lawyers (2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018).

Alfred received his B.S. in Political Science from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, and received his J.D. from The John Marshall Law School. During law school, Alfred served as the Chief Justice on the Moot Court Honors Board and participated in a number of national moot court competitions. While a law student, he also served as a judicial extern to The Honorable Abishi C. Cunningham of the Circuit Court of Cook County and served as a law clerk in the criminal enforcement division of the Office of the Illinois Attorney General, Lisa Madigan.

LILY HOUGH is an Associate at EDELSON PC where her practice focuses on consumer privacy-related class actions.

Lily received her J.D., *cum laude*, from Georgetown University Law Center. In law school, Lily served as a Law Fellow for Georgetown's first year Legal Research and Writing Program and as the Executive Editor of the Georgetown Immigration Law Journal. She participated in D.C. Law Students In Court, one of the oldest clinical programs in the District of Columbia, where she represented tenants in Landlord & Tenant Court and plaintiff consumers in civil matters in D.C. Superior Court. She also worked as an intern at the U.S. Department of State in the Office of the Legal Adviser, International Claims and Investment Disputes (L/CID).

Prior to law school, Lily attended the University of Notre Dame, where she graduated *magna cum laude* with departmental honors and earned her B.A. in Political Science and was awarded a James F. Andrews Scholarship for commitment to social concerns. She is also a member of the Pi Sigma Alpha and Phi Beta Kappa honor societies.

SYDNEY JANZEN is an Associate at EDELSON PC where her practice focuses on consumer privacy-related class actions.

Sydney received her J.D., *cum laude*, from The John Marshall Law School. While in law school, she was Executive Justice of the Moot Court Honor Society, a staff editor of The John Marshall Law Review, and a teaching assistant for Contracts and Legal Writing and Civil Procedure. Sydney represented John Marshall at the Pepperdine National Entertainment Law Competition where she was a quarter-finalist and won Best Petitioner's Brief. Sydney was a 2016 Member of the National Order of Scribes.

Prior to attending law school, Sydney attended DePaul University where she graduated, *summa cum laude*, with a B.A. in English and French.

J. AARON LAWSON is an Associate at EDELSON PC where his practice focuses on appeals and complex motion practice.

Prior to joining EDELSON PC, Aaron served for two years as a Staff Attorney for the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, handling appeals involving a wide variety of subject matter, including consumer-protection law, employment law, criminal law, and federal habeas corpus. While at the University of Michigan Law School, Aaron served as the Managing Editor for the Michigan Journal of Race & Law, and participated in the Federal Appellate Clinic. In the clinic, Aaron briefed a direct criminal appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, and successfully convinced the court to vacate his client's sentence.

TODD LOGAN is an Associate at EDELSON PC. He focuses his practice on class and mass actions and large-scale governmental suits. Todd represents labor unions and governments seeking to recover losses arising out of the opioid crisis, Houston area homeowners in litigation against the United States seeking recovery for alleged constitutional takings of their properties in the wake of Hurricane Harvey, student athletes suffering from the harmful effects of concussions, employees and consumers who have had their privacy rights violated, and consumers who were defrauded.

Todd has litigated dozens of lawsuits in federal and state courts. He led Edelson's efforts in litigating and ultimately obtaining the first ever class action settlement under Illinois' Biometric Information Privacy Act. Overall, his cases have resulted in settlements that have paid out tens of millions of dollars.

From 2016–17, Todd clerked for the Honorable James Donato in the Northern District of California.

MICHAEL OVCA is an Associate at EDELSON PC where he focuses on consumer, privacy-related and technology-related class actions.

Michael received his J.D. *cum laude* from Northwestern University, where he was an associate editor of the Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology, and a member of several award winning trial and moot court teams.

Prior to law school, Michael graduated *summa cum laude* with a degree in political science from the University of Illinois.

ALBERT J. PLAWINSKI is an Associate at EDELSON PC where he focuses on investigating privacy violations by consumer products and IoT devices.

Albert received his J.D. from the Chicago-Kent College of Law. While in law school, Albert served as the Web Editor of the Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property. Albert was also a research assistant for professor Hank Perritt for whom he researched various legal issues relating to the emerging consumer drone market—e.g., data collection by drone manufacturers and federal preemption obstacles for states and municipalities seeking to legislate the use of drones. Additionally, Albert earned a CALI award, for receiving the highest course grade, in Litigation

Technology.

Prior to law school, Albert graduated with Highest Distinctions with a degree in Political Science from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

DAN SCHNEIDER is an Associate at EDELSON PC where he focuses on consumer protection and privacy-related class actions.

Dan received his J.D. *summa cum laude* from the University of Wisconsin, where he served as an Articles Editor for the Wisconsin Law Review.

Prior to law school, Dan graduated *magna cum laude* with a B.A. in Visual and Media Arts from Emerson College. He later worked as a freelance journalist for many years covering economics, activism, and music in the Boston area. His work has appeared in *The Atlantic*, *The Boston Globe*, and *In These Times*, among other outlets.

BEN THOMASSEN is an Associate at EDELSON PC where he focuses on consumer litigation, with an emphasis on privacy and data breach class actions.

Ben's work at the firm has achieved significant results for classes of consumers. He has been appointed as class counsel in several high profile cases, including, for example, *Harris v. comScore, Inc.*, No. 11-cv-5807 (N.D. Ill.) (appointed class counsel in case against data analytics company, which is estimated to be the largest privacy class action certified on adversarial basis and resulted in \$14MM settlement). Ben has also played critical and leading roles in developing, briefing, and arguing novel legal theories on behalf of his clients, including by delivering the winning oral argument to the Eleventh Circuit in the seminal case of *Resnick, et al. v. AvMed, Inc.*, No. 10-cv-24513 (S.D. Fla.) (appointed class counsel in industry-changing data breach case, which obtained a landmark appellate decision endorsing common law unjust enrichment theory, irrespective of whether identity theft occurred) and recently obtaining certification of a class of magazine subscribers in *Coulter-Owens v. Time, Inc.*, No. 12-cv-14390 (E.D. Mich.) (achieved adversarial certification in privacy case brought by class of magazine subscribers against magazine publisher under Michigan's Preservation of Personal Privacy Act). His cases have resulted in millions of dollars to consumers.

Ben graduated *magna cum laude* from Chicago-Kent College of Law, where he also earned a certificate in Litigation and Alternative Dispute Resolution and was named Order of the Coif. He also served as Vice President of Chicago-Kent's Moot Court Honor Society and earned (a currently unbroken firm record of) seven CALI awards for receiving the highest grade in Appellate Advocacy, Business Organizations, Conflict of Laws, Family Law, Personal Income Tax, Property, and Torts. In 2017, Ben was selected as an Illinois Emerging Lawyer by Leading Lawyers.

Before settling into his legal career, Ben worked in and around the Chicago and Washington, D.C. areas in a number of capacities, including stints as a website designer/developer, a regular contributor to a monthly Capitol Hill newspaper, and a film projectionist and media technician (with many years experience) for commercial theatres, museums, and educational institutions.

Ben received a Master of Arts degree from the University of Chicago and his Bachelor of Arts degree, *summa cum laude*, from St. Mary's College of Maryland.

ALEXANDER G. TIEVSKY is an Associate at EDELSON PC, where he concentrates on complex motion practice and appeals in consumer class action litigation.

He received his J.D. from the Northwestern University School of Law, where he graduated from the two-year accelerated J.D. program. While in law school, Alex was Media Editor of the Northwestern University Law Review. He also worked as a member of the Bluhm Legal Clinic's Center on Wrongful Convictions. Alex maintains a relationship with the Center and focuses his public service work on seeking to overturn unjust criminal convictions in Cook County.

Alex's past experiences include developing internal tools for an enterprise software company and working as a full-time cheesemonger. He received his A.B. in linguistics with general honors from the College of the University of Chicago.

SCHUYLER UFKES is an Associate at EDELSON PC where he focuses on consumer and privacy-related class actions.

Schuyler received his J.D. *magna cum laude* from the Chicago-Kent College of Law. While in law school, Schuyler served as an Executive Articles Editor for the Chicago-Kent Law Review and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Society. Schuyler earned five CALI awards for receiving the highest grade in Legal Writing II, Legal Writing III, Pretrial Litigation, Supreme Court Review, and Professional Responsibility.

Prior to law school, Schuyler studied Consumer Economics and Finance at the University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign.

ELI WADE-SCOTT is an Associate at Edelson PC where his practice focuses on consumer, privacy-related, and tech-related class actions.

Before joining Edelson, Eli was a Skadden Fellow at LAF, Cook County's federally-funded legal aid provider. There, Eli represented dozens of low-income tenants in affirmative litigation against their landlords to remedy dangerous housing conditions, such as pest infestations, absence of heat and hot water, and sewage back-ups. Eli secured numerous temporary restraining orders requiring landlords to perform necessary repairs, and obtained tens of thousands of dollars in damages for his clients.

Most recently, Eli served as a law clerk to the Honorable Judge Rebecca Pallmeyer of the Northern District of Illinois. During law school, he was an Executive Editor on the Harvard Law and Policy Review.

JACOB WRIGHT is an Associate at EDELSON PC where his practice focuses on consumer and privacy-related class actions.

Jacob graduated with honors from the University of Texas at Austin with a degree in

Government and Middle Eastern Studies. He received his J.D. *cum laude* from American University College of Law.

Jacob is a Member of the Equality Illinois Political Action Committee as well as a Next Generation Board Member of La Casa Norte.

SHAWN DAVIS is the Director of Digital Forensics at EDELSON PC, where he leads a technical team in investigating claims involving privacy violations and tech-related abuse. His team's investigations have included claims arising out of the fraudulent development, marketing, and sale of computer software, unlawful tracking of consumers through digital devices, unlawful collection, storage, and dissemination of consumer data, large-scale data breaches, receipt of unsolicited communications, and other deceptive marketing practices.

Prior to joining EDELSON PC, Shawn worked for Motorola Solutions in the Security and Federal Operations Centers as an Information Protection Specialist. Shawn's responsibilities included network and computer forensic analysis, malware analysis, threat mitigation, and incident handling for various commercial and government entities.

Shawn is an Adjunct Industry Associate Professor for the School of Applied Technology at the Illinois Institute of Technology (IIT) where he has been teaching since December of 2013. Additionally, Shawn is a faculty member of the IIT Center for Cyber Security and Forensics Education which is a collaborative space between business, government, academia, and security professionals. Shawn's contributions aided in IIT's designation as a National Center of Academic Excellence in Information Assurance by the National Security Agency.

Shawn graduated with high honors from the Illinois Institute of Technology with a Masters of Information Technology Management with a specialization in Computer and Network Security. During graduate school, Shawn was inducted into Gamma Nu Eta, the National Information Technology Honor Society.

Exhibit 2-B

Edelson PC
350 North LaSalle
Suite 1300
Chicago IL 60654
United States

INVOICE




Class Action Cases
@edelson.com @edelson.com

Invoice #:	555563K
Date:	August 28, 2018
Balance Due (USD):	\$3,335.49

Item	Description	Unit Cost (\$)	Quantity	Price (\$)	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 06/14/18] FedEx	35.61	1	35.61	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 06/14/18] Copies/Binding/Production: FedEx	5.77	1	5.77	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 01/17/18] Service of Process: First Legal Network Insurance Services. Subpeonas to 8 different companies	2,000.00	1	2,000.00	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 12/04/17] Service of Process: Delaware Attorney Services, LLC. Acxiom Corporation	105.00	1	105.00	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 12/04/17] FedEx	20.62	1	20.62	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 07/16/17] Airfare, Southwest Airlines: wifi receipt for work on plane	8.00	1	8.00	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 02/02/17] FedEx	20.13	1	20.13	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 02/02/17] Copies/Binding/Production: FedEx	5.77	1	5.77	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 11/02/16] Transcript: Lawrence R. Przybysz. Hearing on 9/1/16	98.00	1	98.00	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 11/02/16] FedEx	19.57	1	19.57	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 10/07/16] FedEx	19.57	1	19.57	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 09/01/16] Flight: Roger Perlstadt. DTW - ORD	305.10	1	305.10	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 09/01/16] Hotel: Roger Perlstadt	166.62	1	166.62	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 09/01/16] Taxi & Parking: 1/2 ORD Parking (Hall v. Forbes and Perlin v. Time)	35.00	1	35.00	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 09/01/16] Taxi & Parking: Uber	7.10	1	7.10	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 09/01/16] Taxi & Parking: Uber	6.30	1	6.30	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 09/01/16] Restaurants/Dining: 1/2 Dinner at DTW (Hall v. Forbes and Perlin v. Time)	5.66	1	5.66	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 08/31/16] Taxi & Parking: 1/2 Cab from DTW to Hotel (Hall v. Forbes and Perlin v. Time)	26.95	1	26.95	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 07/11/16] FedEx	19.57	1	19.57	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 07/11/16] Copies/Binding/Production: FedEx	5.77	1	5.77	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 06/30/16] FedEx	19.38	1	19.38	
Expense	[Perlin v. Time 02/19/16] Filing Fees: Complaint	400.00	1	400.00	
				Subtotal:	3,335.49
				Total:	3,335.49
				Amount Paid:	0.00

	Balance Due (USD): \$3,335.49
--	---------------------------------------------

This invoice was sent using  FRESHBOOKS